UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,699	11/19/2003	Chris Concannon	P/4279-4	8860
	7590 04/30/200 FABER GERB & SOF	EXAMINER		
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			OSMAN, RAMY M	
NEW YORK, NY 100368403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2157	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/717,699	CONCANNON, CHRIS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	RAMY M. OSMAN	2157				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>10 Ja</u>	nuary 2008.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	/ <del></del>					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27,29 and 30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27,29-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	·					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some color None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 2157

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Status of Claims

1. This action is responsive to amendment filed January 10, 2008, where Applicant

amended claims 1,5,6,7,9-11,14,18,22-26,29,30, and cancelled claims. Claims 1-27,29-30 are

pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-27,29-30 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection under 103(a).

3. Applicant has not pointed out where the limitations of the amended claims are supported

in the specification. (See MPEP chapter 2163.03 section (I.) and chapter 2163.04 section (I.) and

chapter 2163.06) Applicant is requested to provide support for the amended claims in any

subsequent action.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 1 objected to for minor informalities. The claim recites the limitation "the at least

one intended recipient" in line 6. Applicant is requested to make the language consistent with

"plurality of intended recipients".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2157

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. Claims 1-21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith by (US Patent No 6,192,407) in view of Ringler et al (US Patent Publication No 20030182215).
- 7. In reference to claim 1, Smith teaches a system for disseminating an electronic material over a network, the system comprising:

a list defining module that defines a list of a plurality of intended recipients of the electronic material (column 13 lines 19-45);

an electronic materials receiving module that receives and stores the electronic material from a user to be transmitted to the at least one intended recipient on a site processor (column 15 lines 27-34);

a properties association module that defines properties to be associated with the electronic material (column 15 lines 27-45);

a generate and send message module that provides a message to the plurality of intended recipients, wherein the message includes a first hyperlink that each of a plurality of actual recipients of the message receives (column 15 lines 4-15); and

a system tracking module that tracks whether the at least one intended recipient receives the electronic material (column 15 lines 17-20 & 45-67).

Smith fails to explicitly teach an internet website that is hosted by the site processor, wherein the internet web site is presented to an actual recipient when the first hyperlink is selected in the message by the actual recipient, and further wherein the internet web site includes a second hyperlink that, when selected, provides access to the electronic material. However,

Art Unit: 2157

Ringler teaches a login website presented to a user via a first link, and wherein the login website includes additional link(s) which when selected by the user grants the user access to the remainder of the web site content (Ringler, ¶ 85) for the purpose of regulating the access of web content based upon terms and conditions that a user must agree to. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Smith wherein the internet website that is hosted by the site processor, wherein the internet web site is presented to an actual recipient when the first hyperlink is selected in the message by the actual recipient, and further wherein the internet web site includes a second hyperlink that, when selected, provides access to the electronic material as per the teachings of Ringler for the purpose of regulating the access of web content based upon terms and conditions that a user must agree to.

- 8. In reference to claim 2, Smith teaches the system of claim 1, further comprising a user management module that is used by the user to allow additional users to disseminate at least one of the electronic material and other electronic material (column 16 lines 5-20).
- 9. In reference to claim 3, Smith teaches the system of claim 2, wherein the user management module provides various levels of authorization for the additional users (column 16 lines 40-67).
- 10. In reference to claim 5, Smith teaches the system of claim 1, further comprising a project module that identifies the message, the electronic material and the plurality of intended recipients with a unique project name (column 15 lines 15-67).
- 11. In reference to claim 6, Smith teaches the system of claim 5, wherein the project module further enables a user to duplicate at least one of the message, the electronic material and

Application/Control Number: 10/717,699

Art Unit: 2157

respective identifiers of the plurality of intended recipients associated with the unique project name (column 13 lines 19-45).

Page 5

- 12. In reference to claim 8, Smith teaches the system of claim 1, wherein the message includes a control for forwarding the message to another intended recipient when the party who receives the message is not one of the at least one intended recipient (column 17 lines 35-45).
- 13. In reference to claim 9, Smith teaches the system of claim 1, wherein the list includes at least one respective e-mail addresses for the plurality of intended recipients (column 15 lines 1-15).
- 14. In reference to claim 10, Smith teaches the system of claim 9, further comprising a checking module that tests the e-mail addresses for accuracy (column 15 lines 1-15).
- 15. In reference to claim 11, Smith teaches the system of claim 10, wherein the e-mail addresses are accurate when the e-mail addresses comply with formatting standards (column 15 lines 1-15).
- 16. In reference to claim 12, Smith teaches the system of claim 1, wherein the list defining module further receives electronic e-mail list information, the e-mail list information representing intended recipients and e-mail addresses thereof (column 15 lines 1-15).
- In reference to claim 13, Smith teaches the system of claim 12, wherein the list defining 17. module creates an e-mail list based on the electronic e-mail list information (column 15 lines 1-15).
- 18. In reference to claims 14-16,18,19 & 21-26, these claims are method claims that correspond to the system claims of claims 1-3,5,6 & 8-13. Therefore, claims 14-16,18,19 & 21-26 are rejected based upon the same rationale as given for claims 1-3,5,6 & 8-13 above.

Art Unit: 2157

19. In reference to claims 29-30, these claims are system and method claims respectively that correspond to the system claims of claims 1-3. Therefore, claims 29-30 are rejected based upon the same rationale as given for claims 1-3 above.

- 20. Claims 4,7,17,20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith by (US Patent No 6,192,407) in view of Ringler et al (US Patent Publication No 20030182215)in further view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art (Patent Publication No 2004/0158612).
- 21. In reference to claims 4 and 7, Smith teaches the system of claim 1. Although Smith fails to explicitly teach wherein the electronic material comprises at least one of annual reports, bank books, compliance documents, litigation-related materials, mutual fund related information, Preliminary Official Statements, private placements, prospectuses, proxy statements, registration statements and research reports; Applicant has admitted to this being old and well-known in the art (see ¶ 7). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Smith wherein the electronic material comprises at least one of annual reports, bank books, compliance documents, litigation-related materials, mutual fund related information, Preliminary Official Statements, private placements, prospectuses, proxy statements, registration statements and research reports as admitted to by applicant for the purpose of utilizing it in the financial investment industry.
- 22. In reference to claims 17 & 20, these claims are method claims that correspond to the system claims of claims 4 & 7. Therefore, claims 17 & 20 are rejected based upon the same rationale as given for claims 4 & 7 above.

Art Unit: 2157

## Conclusion

23. The above rejections are based upon the broadest reasonable interpretation of the claims. Applicant is advised that the specified citations of the relied upon prior art, in the above rejections, are only representative of the teachings of the prior art, and that any other supportive sections within the entirety of the reference (including any figures, incorporation by references, claims and/or priority documents) is implied as being applied to teach the scope of the claims.

- 24. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See attached Form 892.
- 25. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RAMY M. OSMAN whose telephone number is (571)272-4008. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

Art Unit: 2157

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**RMO** 

April 25, 2008

/Ario Etienne/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2157